

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Palent and Trademark Office
Address/COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Sec. 1950
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,607	07/23/2003	Kang-Seok Cho	1572.1135	7596	
21171	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			CASIANO, ANGEL L		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DC 20005		2182		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/624,607	CHO, KANG-SEOK	CHO, KANG-SEOK		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Angel L. Casiano	2182			

Berere the rining or an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Angel L. Casiano	2182						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW C					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	pe filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	a).					
AMENDMENTS	had main an about and filling a built	£	h					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			pecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE Delow),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or								
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
$5.$ $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	• ——							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	_					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected to:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a second control of the control of								
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. 🔲 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No.								
13.  Other:		15						
	//,	<b>710</b>						

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060301

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The After final amendment includes new limitations in independent claims 1 and 8. For example, independent claim 1 now recites, "wherein the touch pad selection buttons of the inputting unit have selection inputting functions used to control a plurality of operations of the optical device drive when the power switch is in an OFF state." Independent claim 8 now specifies "to supply the signal to the touch pad control unit to control a point curser if the system power is enabled." These amendments raise new issues which would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) have not been considered, since the present After final amendment includes new limitations, which change the scope of the claims and would require new search and consideration.